IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 35553

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2009 Unpublished Opinion No. 472
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: May 22, 2009
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
RICKY ARNELL WARD,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Appeal from the District Court of the County. Hon. Timothy Hansen, District County.	ne Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada strict Judge.

Judgment of conviction and consecutive unified sentences of five years for threats against a state official, five years for misappropriation of personal identifying information, and fourteen years with two years determinate for forgery, to run concurrently with previously imposed sentence, <u>affirmed</u>.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LANSING, Chief Judge, GUTIERREZ, Judge and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Ricky Arnell Ward was convicted of threats against a state official, Idaho Code § 18-1353A, misappropriation of personal identifying information, I.C. §§ 18-3126, -3128, and forgery, I.C. § 18-3601. The district court imposed consecutive unified sentences of five years for threats against a state official, five years for misappropriation of personal identifying information, and fourteen years with two years determinate for forgery, to run concurrently with a previously imposed sentence. Ward appeals, contending that the sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Ward's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.